UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V	

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	Noe Garcia-Nunoz	Case Number:	<u>09-6137M</u>	
and was repr	e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 314 esented by counsel. I conclude by a prepondant pending trial in this case.	2(f), a detention hearing derance of the evidence t	was held on April 7, 2009. Defendant was presen he defendant is a flight risk and order the detentior	
		INDINGS OF FACT		
find by a pro	eponderance of the evidence that:			
\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the Ur	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.		
X	The defendant, at the time of the charg	efendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
	The defendant has no significant conta	he defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
X	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and ha substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.			
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
	The defendant is facing a maximum of		years imprisonment.	
at the time of	f the hearing in this matter, except as noted CO	d in the record. NCLUSIONS OF LAW	ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Cour	
1. 2.	DIRECTION	ns will reasonably assure NS REGARDING DETEN	e the appearance of the defendant as required. ITION s/her designated representative for confinement in	
a corrections appeal. The of the United	facility separate, to the extent practicable, f defendant shall be afforded a reasonable o States or on request of an attorney for the the United States Marshal for the purpose	rom persons awaiting or s pportunity for private cons Government, the person i	serving sentences or being held in custody pending sultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour n charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding.	
IT IS deliver a copy Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of this d	etention order be filed wit	h the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to cone day prior to the hearing set before the Distric	
IT IS Services suff	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a ficiently in advance of the hearing before the potential third party custodian.	a third party is to be conside the District Court to allow	dered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DAT	TED this 8 th day of April, 2009.			
	•	Sur Sur		

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge